arXiv:1610.00144v2 [math.RT] 10 Oct 2016

THE PROJECTIVE LEAVITT COMPLEX

HUANHUAN LI

Abstract. Let Q be a finite quiver without sources, and A be the corresponding radical square zero algebra. We construct an explicit compact generator for the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of projective A-modules. We call such a generator the projective Leavitt complex of Q. This terminology is justified by the following result: the opposite differential graded endomorphism algebra of the projective Leavitt complex of Q is quasi-isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra of Q^{op}. Here, Q^{op} is the opposite quiver of Q and the Leavitt path algebra of Q^{op} is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and viewed as a differential graded algebra with trivial differential.

1. Introduction

Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field k. We denote by $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$ the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of projective A-modules. This category is a compactly generated triangulated category whose subcategory of compact objects is triangle equivalent to the opposite category of the singularity category [4, 15] of the opposite algebra A^{op}.

In this paper, we construct an explicit compact generator for the homotopy category $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$ in the case that A is an algebra with radical square zero. The compact generator is called the *projective Leavitt complex*. Recall from [7, Theorem 6.2] that the homotopy category $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$ was described in terms of Leavitt path algebra in the sense of [2, 3]. We prove that the opposite differential graded endomorphism algebra of the projective Leavitt complex of a finite quiver without sources is quasi-isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra of the opposite quiver. Here, the Leavitt path algebra is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and viewed as a differential graded algebra with trivial differential.

Let Q be a finite quiver without sources, and $A = kQ/J^2$ be the corresponding algebra with radical square zero. We introduce the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q in Definition 2.4. Then we prove that $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is acyclic; see Proposition 2.7.

Denote by $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ the Leavitt path algebra of Q^{op} over k, which is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Here, Q^{op} is the opposite quiver of Q. We consider $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ as a differential graded algebra with trivial differential.

The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem. *Let Q be a finite quiver without sources, and $A = kQ/J^2$ be the corresponding finite dimensional algebra with radical square zero.*

(1) *The projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q is a compact generator for the homotopy category $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$.*
(2) *The opposite differential graded endomorphism algebra of the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q is quasi-isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$.* □

Date: October 11, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G20, 16E45, 18E30, 18G35.

Key words and phrases. Leavitt path algebra, projective Leavitt complex, compact generator, dg quasi-balanced module.

The Theorem is a combination of Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 5.2. We mention that for the construction of the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$, we use the basis of the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ given by [1, Theorem 1].

For the proof of (1), we construct subcomplexes of $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$. For (2), we actually prove that the projective Leavitt complex has the structure of a differential graded A-$L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$-bimodule, which is right quasi-balanced. Here, we consider A as a differential graded algebra concentrated in degree zero, and $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and viewed as a differential graded algebra with trivial differential.

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we introduce the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q and prove that it is acyclic. In section 3, we recall some notation and prove that the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a compact generator of the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of projective A-modules. In section 4, we recall some facts of the Leavitt path algebra and endow the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ with a differential graded $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$-module structure, which makes it become an A-$L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$-bimodule. In section 5, we prove that the opposite differential graded endomorphism algebra of $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$.

2. The projective Leavitt complex of a finite quiver without sources

In this section, we introduce the projective Leavitt complex of a finite quiver without sources, and prove that it is an acyclic complex of projective modules over the corresponding finite dimensional algebra with radical square zero.

2.1. **The projective Leavitt complex.** A quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1; s, t)$ consists of a set Q_0 of vertices, a set Q_1 of arrows and two maps $s, t : Q_1 \to Q_0$, which associate to each arrow α its starting vertex $s(\alpha)$ and its terminating vertex $t(\alpha)$, respectively. A quiver Q is finite if both the sets Q_0 and Q_1 are finite.

A path in the quiver Q is a sequence $p = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_2\alpha_1$ of arrows with $t(\alpha_k) = s(\alpha_{k+1})$ for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$. The length of p, denoted by $l(p)$, is m. The starting vertex of p, denoted by $s(p)$, is $s(\alpha_1)$. The terminating vertex of p, denoted by $t(p)$, is $t(\alpha_m)$. We identify an arrow with a path of length one. For each vertex $i \in Q_0$, we associate to it a trivial path e_i of length zero. Set $s(e_i) = i = t(e_i)$. Denote by Q_m the set of all paths in Q of length m for each $m \geq 0$.

Recall that a vertex of Q is a sink if there is no arrow starting at it and a source if there is no arrow terminating at it. Recall that for a vertex i which is not a sink, we can choose an arrow β with $s(\beta) = i$, which is called the *special arrow* starting at vertex i; see [1]. For a vertex i which is not a source, fix an arrow γ with $t(\gamma) = i$. We call the fixed arrow the *associated arrow* terminating at i. For an associated arrow α, we set

$$T(\alpha) = \{\beta \in Q_1 \mid t(\beta) = t(\alpha), \beta \neq \alpha\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.1. For two paths $p = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_2\alpha_1$ and $q = \beta_n \cdots \beta_2\beta_1$ with $m, n \geq 1$, we call the pair (p, q) an *associated pair* in Q if $s(p) = s(q)$, and either $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$, or $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ is not associated. In addition, we call $(p, e_{s(p)})$ and $(e_{s(p)}, p)$ *associated pairs* in Q for each path p in Q. □

For each vertex $i \in Q_0$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l = \{(p, q) \mid (p, q) \text{ is an associated pair with } l(q) - l(p) = l \text{ and } t(p) = i\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let Q be a finite quiver without sources. The above set $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$ is not empty for each vertex i and each integer l.*

Proof. Recall that the opposite quiver Q^{op} of the quiver Q has arrows with opposite directions. For each vertex $i \in Q_0$, fix the special arrow of Q^{op} starting

at i as the opposite arrow of the associated arrow of Q terminating at i. Observe that for each vertex i and each integer l, $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$ is one-to-one corresponded to $\{(q^{\mathrm{op}}, p^{\mathrm{op}}) \mid (q^{\mathrm{op}}, p^{\mathrm{op}})$ is an admissible pair in Q^{op} with $l(p^{\mathrm{op}}) - l(q^{\mathrm{op}}) = -l$ and $s(p^{\mathrm{op}}) = i\}$. Here, refer to [12, Definition 2.1] for the definition of admissible pair. By [12, Lemma 2.2], the later set is not empty. The proof is completed. □

Let k be a field and Q be a finite quiver. For each $m \geq 0$, denote by kQ_m the k-vector space with basis Q_m. The path algebra kQ of the quiver Q is defined as $kQ = \bigoplus_{m\geq 0} kQ_m$, whose multiplication is given by such that for two paths p and q in Q, if $s(p) = t(q)$, then the product pq is their concatenation; otherwise, we set the product pq to be zero. Here, we write the concatenation of paths from right to left.

We observe that for any path p and vertex $i \in Q_0$, $pe_i = \delta_{i,s(p)}p$ and $e_ip = \delta_{i,t(p)}p$, where δ denotes the Kronecker symbol. We have that $\sum_{i\in Q_0} e_i$ is the unit of the path algebra kQ. Denote by J the two-sided ideal of kQ generated by arrows.

We consider the quotient algebra $A = kQ/J^2$; it is a finite dimensional algebra with radical square zero. Indeed, $A = kQ_0 \oplus kQ_1$ as a k-vector space. The Jacobson radical of A is $\mathrm{rad}A = kQ_1$ satisfying $(\mathrm{rad}A)^2 = 0$. For each vertex $i \in Q_0$ and each arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, we abuse e_i and α with their canonical images in the algebra A.

Denote by $P_i = Ae_i$ the indecomposable projective left A-module for $i \in Q_0$. We have the following observation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let i, j be two vertices in Q, and $f : P_i \to P_j$ be a k-linear map. Then f is a left A-module morphism if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} f(e_i) = \delta_{i,j}\lambda e_j + \sum\limits_{\{\beta\in Q_1 \mid s(\beta)=j,\ t(\beta)=i\}} \mu(\beta)\beta \\ f(\alpha) = \delta_{i,j}\lambda\alpha \end{cases}$$

with λ and $\mu(\beta)$ scalars for all $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$. □

For a set X and an A-module M, the coproduct $M^{(X)}$ will be understood as $\bigoplus_{x\in X} M\zeta_x$ such that each component $M\zeta_x$ is M. For an element $m \in M$, we use $m\zeta_x$ to denote the corresponding element in the component $M\zeta_x$.

For a path $p = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_2\alpha_1$ in Q of length $m \geq 2$, we denote by $\widehat{p} = \alpha_{m-1} \cdots \alpha_1$ and $\widetilde{p} = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_2$ the two *truncations* of p. For an arrow α, denote by $\widehat{\alpha} = e_{s(\alpha)}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} = e_{t(\alpha)}$.

Definition 2.4. Let Q be a finite quiver without sources. The *projective Leavitt complex* $\mathcal{P}^\bullet = (\mathcal{P}^l, \delta^l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of Q is defined as follows:
(1) the l-th component $\mathcal{P}^l = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l)}$.
(2) the differential $\delta^l : \mathcal{P}^l \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{l+1}$ is given by $\delta^l(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = 0$ and

$$\delta^l(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \begin{cases} \beta\zeta_{(\widehat{p},q)}, & \text{if } p = \beta\widehat{p}; \\ \sum_{\{\beta\in Q_1 \mid t(\beta)=i\}} \beta\zeta_{(e_{s(\beta)},q\beta)}, & \text{if } l(p) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for any $i \in Q_0$, $(p, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$. □

Each component $\mathcal{P}^l$ is a projective A-module. The differential δ^l are A-module morphisms; compare Lemma 2.3. By the definition of the differential δ^l, it is direct to see that $\delta^{l+1} \circ \delta^l = 0$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. In conclusion, $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a complex of projective A-modules.

2.2. **The acyclicity of the projective Leavitt complex.** We will show that the projective Leavitt complex is acyclic.

In what follows, V, V' are two k-vector spaces and $f : V \to V'$ is a k-linear map. Suppose that B and B' are k-bases of V and V', respectively. We say that the triple (f, B, B') satisfies *Condition* (X) if $f(B) \subseteq B'$ and the restriction of f on B is injective. In this case, we have $\mathrm{Ker} f = 0$.

We suppose further that there are disjoint unions $B = B_0 \cup B_1 \cup B_2$ and $B' = B'_0 \cup B'_1$. We say that the triple (f, B, B') satisfies *Condition* (W) if the following statements hold:

(W1) $f(b) = 0$ for each $b \in B_0$;
(W2) $f(B_1) \subseteq B'_1$ and (f_1, B_1, B') satisfies Condition (X) where f_1 is the restriction of f to the subspace spanned by B_1.
(W3) For $b \in B_2$, $f(b) = b_0 + \sum_{c \in B_1(b)} f(c)$ for some $b_0 \in B'_0$ and some finite subset $B_1(b) \subseteq B_1$. Moreover, if $b, b' \in B_2$ and $b \neq b'$, then $b_0 \neq b'_0$.

We have the following observation. The proof of it is similar as that of [12, Lemma 2.7]. We omit it here.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that (f, B, B') satisfies Condition (W), then B_0 is a k-basis of* $\mathrm{Ker} f$ *and $f(B_1) \cup \{b_0 \mid b \in B_2\}$ is a k-basis of* $\mathrm{Im} f$. □

From now on, Q is a finite quiver without sources. We consider the differential $\delta^l : \mathcal{P}^l \to \mathcal{P}^{l+1}$ in Definition 2.4. We have the following k-basis of $\mathcal{P}^l$:

$$B^l = \{e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}, \alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_i \text{ and } \alpha \in Q_1 \text{ with } s(\alpha) = i\}.$$

Denote by $B^l_0 = \{\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} |\ i \in Q_0, (p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_i \text{ and } \alpha \in Q_1 \text{ with } s(\alpha) = i\}$ a subset of B^l. Set

$$B^l_2 = \{e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (e_i, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_i\}$$

for $l \geq 0$. If $l < 0$, put $B^l_2 = \emptyset$. Take $B^l_1 = B^l \setminus (B^l_0 \cup B^l_2)$. Then we have the disjoint union $B^l = B^l_0 \cup B^l_1 \cup B^l_2$. Set $B'^l_0 = \{\beta\zeta_{(e_{s(q)},q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (e_{s(q)}, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_i$ such that $q = \widetilde{q}\beta$ *and* β is associated$\}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We mention that $B'^l_0 = \emptyset$ for $l < 0$. Take $B'^l_1 = B^l \setminus B'^l_0$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the disjoint union $B^l = B'^l_0 \cup B'^l_1$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.6. *For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set B^l_0 is a k-basis of* $\mathrm{Ker}\delta^l$ *and the set B^{l+1}_0 is a k-basis of* $\mathrm{Im}\delta^l$.

Proof. For $l < 0$, we have $B^l_2 = \emptyset = B'^{l+1}_0$. We observe that the triple (δ^l, B^l, B^{l+1}) satisfies Condition (W). Indeed, $\delta^l(b) = 0$ for each $b \in B^l_0$. The differential δ^l induces an injective map $\delta^l : B^l_1 \to B'^{l+1}_1$. Then (W1) and (W2) hold. To see (W3), for $l \geq 0$ and each $i \in Q_0$, $e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)} \in B^l_2$, we have

$$\delta^l(e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)}) = \alpha\zeta_{(e_{s(\alpha)},q\alpha)} + \sum_{\beta \in T(\alpha)} \delta^l(e_{s(\beta)}\zeta_{(\beta,q\beta)}),$$

where $\alpha \in Q_1$ such that $t(\alpha) = i$ and α is associated. Here, recall $T(\alpha)$ from (2.1). Thus $(e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)})_0 = \alpha\zeta_{(e_{s(\alpha)},q\alpha)}$ and the finite subset $B^l_1(e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)}) = \{e_{s(\beta)}\zeta_{(\beta,q\beta)} \mid \beta \in T(\alpha)\}$.

Recall that $B^{l+1}_0 = \{\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} |\ i \in Q_0, (p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^{l+1}_i \text{ and } \alpha \in Q_1 \text{ with } s(\alpha) = i\}$. Now we prove that $B^{l+1}_0 = \delta^l(B^l_1) \cup \{b_0 \mid b \in B^l_2\}$. We mention that the set $\{b_0 \mid b \in B^l_2\} = \{\alpha\zeta_{(e_{s(\alpha)},q\alpha)} \mid q \in Q_l$ and α is associated with $t(\alpha) = s(q)\}$. Clearly, $\delta^l(B^l_1) \cup \{b_0 \mid b \in B^l_2\} \subseteq B^{l+1}_0$. Conversely, for each $i \in Q_0$ and $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^{l+1}_i$, we have $\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} = \delta^l(e_{t(\alpha)}\zeta_{(\alpha p,q)}) \in \delta^l(B^l_1)$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$ but $\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} \notin \{b_0 \mid b \in B^l_2\}$. Applying Lemma 2.5 for the triple (δ^l, B^l, B^{l+1}), we are done. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let Q be a finite quiver without sources. Then the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q is an acyclic complex.*

Proof. The statement follows directly from Lemma 2.6. □

Example 2.8. Let Q be the following quiver with one vertex and one loop.

The unique arrow α is associated. Set $e = e_1$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}^l = \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_1$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^l = \begin{cases} \{(\alpha^{-l}, e)\}, & \text{if } l < 0; \\ \{(e, e)\}, & \text{if } l = 0; \\ \{(e, \alpha^l)\}, & \text{if } l > 0. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding algebra A with radical square zero is isomorphic to $k[x]/(x^2)$. Write $A^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}^l)} = A\zeta^l$, where $\zeta^l = \zeta_{(\alpha^{-l},e)}$ for $l < 0$, $\zeta^0 = \zeta_{(e,e)}$ and $\zeta^l = \zeta_{(e,\alpha^l)}$ for $l > 0$. Then the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q is as follows

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A\zeta^{l-1} \xrightarrow{\delta^{l-1}} A\zeta^l \xrightarrow{\delta^l} A\zeta^{l+1} \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

where the differential δ^l is given by $\delta^l(e\zeta^l) = \alpha\zeta^{l+1}$ and $\delta^l(\alpha\zeta^l) = 0$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Observe that A is a self-injective algebra. The projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is isomorphic to the injective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{I}^\bullet$ as complexes; compare [12, Example 2.11].

Example 2.9. Let Q be the following quiver with one vertex and two loops.



We choose α_1 to be the associated arrow terminating at the unique vertex. Set $e = e_1$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}^l = \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_1$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. A pair (p, q) of paths lies in $\mathbf{\Lambda}^l$ if and only if $l(q) - l(p) = l$ and p, q do not start with α_1 simultaneously.

We denote by A the corresponding radical square zero algebra. The projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q is as follows:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta^{-1}} A^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}^0)} \xrightarrow{\delta^0} A^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}^1)} \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \cdots$$

We write the differential δ^0 explicitely: $\delta^0(\alpha_k\zeta_{(p,q)}) = 0$ and

$$\delta^0(e\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \begin{cases} \alpha_k\zeta_{(\widehat{p},q)}, & \text{if } p = \alpha_k\widehat{p}; \\ \alpha_1\zeta_{(e,q\alpha_1)} + \alpha_2\zeta_{(e,q\alpha_2)}, & \text{if } p = e. \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, 2$ and $(p, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^0$.

3. The projective Leavitt complex as a compact generator

In this section, we prove that the projective Leavitt complex is a compact generator of the homotopy category of acyclic complexes of projective A-modules.

3.1. **A cokernel complex and its decomposition.** Let Q be a finite quiver without sources and A be the corresponding algebra with radical square zero. For each $i \in Q_0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, denote by

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{l,n}_i = \{(p, q) \mid (p, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}^l_i \text{ with } p \in Q_n\}.$$

Refer to (2.2) for the definition of the set $\mathbf{\Lambda}^l_i$.

Recall the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet = (\mathcal{P}^l, \delta^l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of Q. For each $l \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{K}^l = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{l,0}_i)} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^l$, where $P_i = Ae_i$. Observe that the differential $\delta^l : \mathcal{P}^l \to \mathcal{P}^{l+1}$ satisfies $\delta^l(\mathcal{K}^l) \subseteq \mathcal{K}^{l+1}$. Then we have a subcomplex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$,

whose components $\mathcal{K}^l = 0$ for $l < 0$. Denote by $\phi^\bullet = (\phi^l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} : \mathcal{K}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ be the inclusion chain map by setting $\phi^l = 0$ for $l < 0$. We set $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ to be the cokernel of $\phi^\bullet$.

We now describe the cokernel $\mathcal{C}^\bullet = (\mathcal{C}^l, \widetilde{\delta}^l)$ of $\phi^\bullet$. For each vertex $i \in Q_0$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,+} = \bigcup_{n>0} \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,n}.$$

Observe that we have the disjoint union $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l = \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0} \cup \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,+}$ for $l \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l = \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,+}$ for $l < 0$. The component of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ is $\mathcal{C}^l = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,+})}$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have $\mathcal{C}^l = \mathcal{P}^l$ for $l < 0$ and the differential $\widetilde{\delta}^l = \delta^l$ for $l \leq -2$. The differential $\widetilde{\delta}^l : \mathcal{C}^l \to \mathcal{C}^{l+1}$ for $l \geq -1$ is given as follows: $\widetilde{\delta}^l(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = 0$ and

$$\widetilde{\delta}^l(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l(p) = 1; \\ \delta^l(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $i \in Q_0$, $(p, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,+}$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$. The restriction of $\widetilde{\delta}^l$ to $\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,1})}$ is zero for $l \geq -1$. We emphasize that the differentials $\widetilde{\delta}^l$ for $l \geq -1$ are induced by the differentials δ^l in Definition 2.4.

We observe the inclusions $\widetilde{\delta}^l(\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,n})}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l+1,n-1})}$ inside the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then for each $n \geq 0$ the following complex, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_n^\bullet$,

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-4}} \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{n-3,3})} \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-3}} \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{n-2,2})} \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-2}} \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{n-1,1})} \to 0$$

is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ satisfying $\mathcal{C}_n^l = 0$ for $l \geq n$. The differential δ^l for $l \leq n-2$ is the differential of $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$.

We visually represent the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ and the cokernel complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ of $\phi^\bullet$. For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, we denote $\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,n})}$ by $P^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{l,n})}$ for simplicity.



Remark 3.1. (1) For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the l-th component of the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is the coproduct of the objects in the l-th column of the above diagram. The differentials of $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ are coproducts of the maps in the diagram.

(2) The horizontal line of the above diagram is the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$, while the other part gives the cokernel $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ of $\phi^\bullet : \mathcal{K}^\bullet \to \mathcal{P}^\bullet$. The diagonal lines (not including the intersection with the horizontal line) of the above diagram are the subcomplexes $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_n$ of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$. For example, the first diagonal line on the left of the above diagram (not including $P^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{0,0})}$) is the subcomplex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_0$.

We have the following observation immeadiately.

Proposition 3.2. *The complex* $\mathcal{C}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{C}^\bullet_n$.

Proof. Observe that for each $n \geq 0$, the l-th component of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_n$ is

$$\mathcal{C}^l_n = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,n-l})}, & \text{if } l < n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the l-th component of $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{C}^\bullet_n$ is $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{C}^l_n = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,+})} = \mathcal{C}^l$. Recall the differential $\widetilde{\delta}^l : \mathcal{C}^l \to \mathcal{C}^{l+1}$ of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$. The restriction of $\widetilde{\delta}^l$ to $\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,1})}$ is zero and the restriction of $\widetilde{\delta}^l$ to $\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,n})}$ for $n > 1$ is δ^l. Thus $\widetilde{\delta}^l : \mathcal{C}^l \to \mathcal{C}^{l+1}$ is the coproduct of the differentials $\delta^l : \mathcal{C}^l_n \to \mathcal{C}^{l+1}_n$ for $n \geq 0$. The proof is completed. □

3.2. **An explicit compact generator of the homotopy category.** We denote by A-Mod the category of left A-modules. Denote by $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Mod})$ the homotopy category of A-Mod. We will always view a module as a stalk complex concentrated in degree zero.

For $X^\bullet = (X^n, d^n_X)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ a complex of A-modules, we denote by $X^\bullet[1]$ the complex given by $(X^\bullet[1])^n = X^{n+1}$ and $d^n_{X[1]} = -d^{n+1}_X$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a chain map $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \to Y^\bullet$, its *mapping cone* $\mathrm{Con}(f^\bullet)$ is a complex such that $\mathrm{Con}(f^\bullet) = X^\bullet[1] \oplus Y^\bullet$ with the differential $d^n_{\mathrm{Con}(f^\bullet)} = \begin{pmatrix} -d^{n+1}_X & 0 \\ f^{n+1} & d^n_Y \end{pmatrix}$. Each triangle in $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Mod})$ is isomorphic to

$$X^\bullet \xrightarrow{f^\bullet} Y^\bullet \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathrm{Con}(f^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} X^\bullet[1]$$

for some chain map $f^\bullet$.

Denote by $I_i = D(e_iA_A)$ the injective left A-module for each vertex $i \in Q_0$, where $(e_iA)_A$ is the indecomposable projective right A-module and $D = \mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$ denotes the standard k-duality. Denote by $\{e_i^\sharp\} \cup \{\alpha^\sharp \mid \alpha \in Q_1, t(\alpha) = i\}$ the basis of I_i, which is dual to the basis $\{e_i\} \cup \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in Q_1, t(\alpha) = i\}$ of e_iA.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ the following complex

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} I_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{0,0})} \xrightarrow{d^0} \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} I_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{1,0})} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} I_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0})} \xrightarrow{d^l} \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} I_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l+1,0})} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of A-modules satisfying $\mathcal{M}^l = 0$ for $l < 0$, where the differential d^l for $l \geq 0$ is given by $d^l(e_i^\sharp \zeta_{(e_i,q)}) = 0$ and $d^l(\alpha^\sharp \zeta_{(e_i,q)}) = e^\sharp_{s(\alpha)} \zeta_{(e_{s(\alpha)}, q\alpha)}$ for $i \in Q_0$, $(e_i, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0}$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $t(\alpha) = i$. Consider the semisimple left A-module $kQ_0 = A/\mathrm{rad}A$.

Lemma 3.3. *The left A-module $kQ_0 = A/\mathrm{rad}A$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$. In other words, $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is an injective resolution of the A-module kQ_0.*

Proof. Define a left A-module map $f^0 : kQ_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^0$ such that $f^0(e_i) = e_i^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,e_i)}$ for each $i \in Q_0$. Then we obtain a chain map $f^\bullet = (f^l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} : kQ_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\bullet$ such that $f^l = 0$ for $l \neq 0$. We observe the following k-basis of $\mathcal{M}^l$ for $l \geq 0$:

$$\Gamma^l = \{e_i^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,q)}, \alpha^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (e_i, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0} \text{ and } \alpha \in Q_1 \text{ with } t(\alpha) = i\}.$$

Set $\Gamma_0^l = \{e_i^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (e_i, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0}\}$, $\Gamma_1^l = \Gamma^l \setminus \Gamma_0^l$, and $\Gamma_1'^l = \Gamma^l$. We have the disjoint union $\Gamma^l = \Gamma_0^l \cup \Gamma_1^l$. The triple $(d^l, \Gamma^l, \Gamma^{l+1})$ satisfies Condition (W). By Lemma 2.5 the set Γ_0^l is a k-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}d^l$ and the set $d^l(\Gamma_1^l)$ is a k-basis of $\mathrm{Im}d^l$. For each $l \geq 0$, $i \in Q_0$ and $(e_i, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l+1,0}$, write $q = \widetilde{q}\alpha$ with $\alpha \in Q_1$. Then we have $e_i^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,q)} = d^l(\alpha^\sharp\zeta_{(e_{t(\alpha)},\widetilde{q})}$. Thus $d^l(\Gamma_1^l) = \Gamma_0^{l+1}$. Hence $\mathrm{Im}d^l = \mathrm{Ker}d^{l+1}$ for each $l \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Ker}d^0 \cong kQ_0$. The statement follows directly. □

For a triangulated category $\mathcal{A}$, a *thick* subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ that is closed under direct summands. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a class of objects in $\mathcal{A}$. Denote by $\mathrm{thick}\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle$ the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ containing $\mathcal{S}$. If the triangulated category $\mathcal{A}$ has arbitrary coproducts, we denote by $\mathrm{Loc}\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle$ the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ which contains $\mathcal{S}$ and is closed under arbitrary coproducts. By [5, Proposition 3.2], $\mathrm{thick}\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{Loc}\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle$.

For a triangulated category $\mathcal{A}$ with arbitrary coproducts, we say that an object $M \in \mathcal{A}$ is *compact* if the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, -)$ commutes with arbitrary coproducts. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^c$ the full subcategory consisting of compact objects; it is a thick subcategory.

A triangulated category $\mathcal{A}$ with arbitrary coproducts is *compactly generated* [9, 13] if there exists a set $\mathcal{S}$ of compact objects such that any nonzero object X satisfies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(S, X[n]) \neq 0$ for some $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is equivalent to the condition that $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Loc}\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle$, in which case $\mathcal{A}^c$=thick$\langle\mathcal{S}\rangle$; see [13, Lemma 3.2]. If the above set $\mathcal{S}$ consists of a single object S, we call S a *compact generator* of the triangulated category $\mathcal{A}$.

The following is [12, Lemma 3.9].

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a compactly generated triangulated category with a compact generator X. Let $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a triangulated subcategory which is closed under arbitrary coproducts. Suppose that there exists a triangle*

$$X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X[1]$$

such that $Y \in \mathcal{A}'$ and Z satisfies $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, A') = 0$ for each object $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$. Then Y is a compact generator of $\mathcal{A}'$. □

Let A-Inj and A-Proj be the categories of injective and projective A-modules, respectively. Denote by $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Inj})$ and $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$ the homotopy categories of complexes of injective and projecitve A-modules, respectively. These homotopy categories are triangulated subcategories of $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Mod})$ which are closed under coproducts. By [11, Proposition 2.3(1)] $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Inj})$ is a compactly generated triangulated category.

Recall that the Nakayama functor $\nu = DA \otimes_A - : A\text{-Proj} \longrightarrow A\text{-Inj}$ is an equivalence, whose quasi-inverse $\nu^{-1} = \mathrm{Hom}_A(D(A_A), -)$. Thus we have a triangle equivalence $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Inj}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$. The category $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$ is a compactly generated triangulated category; see [8, Theorem 2.4] and [14, Proposition 7.14].

Lemma 3.5. *The complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ is a compact generator of $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{K}^\bullet \cong (\nu^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^i), \nu^{-1}(d^i))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as complexes. Recall that

$$\nu^{-1}(I_i) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(D(A_A), I_i) \cong Ae_i$$

for each $i \in Q_0$. Observe that all the sets $\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0}$ are finite. Then for each $l \geq 0$ we have the isomorphism of A-modules

$$f^l : \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_A(D(A_A), \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} I_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0})})$$

such that $f^l(e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)})(e_j^\sharp) = \delta_{ij}e_j^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,q)}$ and $f^l(e_i\zeta_{(e_i,q)})(\beta^\sharp) = \delta_{i,t(\beta)}\beta^\sharp\zeta_{(e_i,q)}$ for each $i, j \in Q_0$, $(e_i, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l,0}$ and $\beta \in Q_1$. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_A(D(A_A), d^l) \circ f^l = f^{l+1} \circ \delta^l$ by direct calculation for each $l \geq 0$.

Recall from Lemma 3.3 that $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is an injective resolution of the left A-module kQ_0. It follows from [11, Proposition 2.3] that $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is a compact object in $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Inj})$ and $\mathrm{Loc}\langle\mathcal{M}^\bullet\rangle = \mathbf{K}(A\text{-Inj})$. Since $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Inj}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$ is a triangle equivalence which sends $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ to $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$, we have $\mathrm{Loc}\langle\mathcal{K}^\bullet\rangle = \mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{X}^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$ *is a bounded-above complex. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Mod})}(\mathrm{X}^\bullet, Y^\bullet) = 0$$

for any acyclic complex $Y^\bullet$ *of* A*-modules.*

Proof. Directly check that any chain map $f^\bullet : \mathrm{X}^\bullet \longrightarrow Y^\bullet$ is null-homotopic. □

Denote by $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Mod})$ which is formed by acyclic complexes of projective A-modules. Applying [14, Propositions 7.14 and 7.12] and the localization theorem in [10, 1.5], we have that the category is a compactly generated triangulated category with the triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(A^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})^c.$$

Here, for a category $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ its opposite category; the category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{sg}}(A^{\mathrm{op}})$ is the singularity category of algebra A^{op} in the sense of [4, 15].

Theorem 3.7. *Let* Q *be a finite quiver without sources. Then the projective Leavitt complex* $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ *of* Q *is a compact generator of the homotopy category* $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$.

Proof. Recall from Proposition 2.7 that $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is an object of $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$. The complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet = \mathrm{Coker}(\phi^\bullet)$, where $\phi^\bullet : \mathcal{K}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is the inclusion chain map. Then we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi^\bullet} \mathcal{P}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet \longrightarrow 0,$$

which splits in each component. This gives rise to a triangle

$$\mathcal{K}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi^\bullet} \mathcal{P}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet \longrightarrow X[1] \tag{3.1}$$

in the category $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$.

By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.6, the following equality holds

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})}(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, X^\bullet) = \prod_{n\geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})}(\mathcal{C}_n^\bullet, X^\bullet) = 0$$

for any $X^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj})$. Recall from Lemma 3.5 that $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ is a compact generator of $\mathbf{K}(A\text{-Proj})$. By the triangle (3.1) and Lemma 3.4, the proof is completed. □

4. The projective Leavitt complex as a differential graded bimodule

In this section, we endow the projective Leavitt complex with a differential graded bimodule structure over the corresponding Leavitt path algebra.

4.1. **A module structure of the Leavitt path algebra.** Let k be a field and Q be a finite quiver. We will endow the projective Leavitt complex of Q with a Leavitt path algebra module structure. Recall from [2, 3] the notion of the Leavitt path algebra.

Definition 4.1. The *Leavitt path algebra* $L_k(Q)$ of Q is the k-algebra generated by the set $\{e_i \mid i \in Q_0\} \cup \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in Q_1\} \cup \{\alpha^* \mid \alpha \in Q_1\}$ subject to the following relations:

(0) $e_i e_j = \delta_{i,j} e_i$ for every $i, j \in Q_0$;
(1) $e_{t(\alpha)}\alpha = \alpha e_{s(\alpha)} = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Q_1$;
(2) $e_{s(\alpha)}\alpha^* = \alpha^* e_{t(\alpha)} = \alpha^*$ for all $\alpha \in Q_1$;
(3) $\alpha\beta^* = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} e_{t(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in Q_1$;
(4) $\sum_{\{\alpha \in Q_1 \mid s(\alpha)=i\}} \alpha^*\alpha = e_i$ for $i \in Q_0$ which is not a sink. □

Here, δ denotes the Kronecker symbol. The above relations (3) and (4) are called *Cuntz-Krieger relations*. The elements α^* for $\alpha \in Q_1$ are called *ghost arrows*.

The Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q)$ can be viewed as a quotient algebra of the path algebra as follows. Denote $\overline{Q}$ the *double quiver* obtained from Q by adding an arrow α^* in the opposite direction for each arrow α in Q. The Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q)$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of the path algebra $k\overline{Q}$ of the double quiver $\overline{Q}$ modulo the ideal generated by $\{\alpha\beta^* - \delta_{\alpha,\beta} e_{t(\alpha)}, \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid s(\gamma)=i\}} \gamma^*\gamma - e_i \mid \alpha, \beta \in Q_1, i \in Q_0 \text{ which is not a sink}\}$.

If $p = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_2\alpha_1$ is a path in Q of length $m \geq 1$, we define $p^* = \alpha_1^*\alpha_2^* \cdots \alpha_m^*$. For convention, we set $e_i^* = e_i$ for $i \in Q_0$. The Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q)$ is spanned by the following set $\{p^*q \mid p, q \text{ are paths in } Q \text{ with } t(p) = t(q)\}$; see [2, Lemma 1.5], [16, Corollary 3.2] or [6, Corollary 2.2]. By the relation (4), this set is not k-linearly independent in general.

For each vertex which is not a sink, we fix a special arrow starting at it. The following result is [1, Theorem 1].

Lemma 4.2. *The following elements form a k-basis of the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q)$:*

(1) *e_i, $i \in Q_0$;*
(2) *p, p^*, where p is a nontrivial path in Q;*
(3) *p^*q with $t(p) = t(q)$, where $p = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_1$ and $q = \beta_n \cdots \beta_1$ are nontrivial paths of Q such that $\alpha_m \neq \beta_n$, or $\alpha_m = \beta_n$ which is not special.* □

From now on, Q is a finite quiver without sources. For notation, Q^{op} is the opposite quiver of Q. For a path p in Q, denote by p^{op} the corresponding path in Q^{op}. The starting and terminating vertices of p^{op} are $t(p)$ and $s(p)$, respectively. For convention, $e_j^{\mathrm{op}} = e_j$ for each vertex $j \in Q_0$. The opposite quiver Q^{op} has no sinks.

For the opposite quiver Q^{op} of Q, choose α^{op} to be the special arrow of Q^{op} starting at vertex i, where α is the associated arrow in Q terminating at i. By Lemma 4.2 there exists a k-basis of the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$, denoted by Γ. Define a map $\chi : \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in Q_0} \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l \to \Gamma$ such that $\chi(p, q) = (p^{\mathrm{op}})^* q^{\mathrm{op}}$. Here, $(p^{\mathrm{op}})^* q^{\mathrm{op}}$ is the multiplication of $(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*$ and q^{op} in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$. The map χ is a bijection. We identify Γ with the set of associated pairs in Q. A nonzero element x in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ can be written in the unique form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i (p_i^{\mathrm{op}})^* q_i^{\mathrm{op}}$$

with $\lambda_i \in k$ nonzero scalars and (p_i, q_i) pairwise distinct associated pairs in Q.

In what follows, $B = L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$. We write ab for the multiplication of a and b in B for $a, b \in B$. Recall that the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet = (\mathcal{P}^l, \delta^l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{P}^l = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l)}$.

We define a right B-module action on $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$. For each vertex $j \in Q_0$ and each arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, define right actions "$\cdot$" on $\mathcal{P}^l$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows. For any element $x\zeta_{(p,q)} \in P_i\zeta_{(p,q)}$ with $i \in Q_0$ and $(p, q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$, we set

$$x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot e_j = \delta_{j,t(q)} x\zeta_{(p,q)}; \tag{4.1}$$

$$x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}} = \begin{cases} x\zeta_{(\widetilde{p},e_{t(\alpha)})} - \sum\limits_{\beta\in T(\alpha)} x\zeta_{(\widetilde{p}\beta,\beta)}, & \text{if } l(q)=0, p=\widetilde{p}\alpha, \\ & \text{and } \alpha \text{ is associated;} \\ \delta_{s(\alpha),t(q)} x\zeta_{(p,\alpha q)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

$$x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})^* = \begin{cases} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha_1} x\zeta_{(p,\widehat{q})}, & \text{if } q = \alpha_1\widehat{q}\,; \\ \delta_{s(p),t(\alpha)} x\zeta_{(p\alpha,e_{s(\alpha)})}, & \text{if } l(q) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Here for the notation, a path $p = \alpha_m\cdots\alpha_2\alpha_1$ in Q of length $m \geq 2$ has two truncations $\widehat{p} = \alpha_{m-1}\cdots\alpha_1$ and $\widetilde{p} = \alpha_m\cdots\alpha_2$. For an arrow α, $\widehat{\alpha} = e_{s(\alpha)}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} = e_{t(\alpha)}$. The set $T(\alpha) = \{\beta \in Q_1 \mid t(\beta) = t(\alpha), \beta \neq \alpha\}$ for an associated arrow α.

We observe the following fact:

$$\begin{cases} x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}} = 0, & \text{If } s(\alpha) \neq t(q); \\ x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})^* = 0, & \text{If } t(\alpha) \neq t(q). \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.3. *The above actions make the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of Q a right B-module.*

Proof. We prove that the above right actions satisfy the defining relations of the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ of the opposite quiver Q^{op}. We fix $x\zeta_{(p,q)} \in P_i\zeta_{(p,q)} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^l$.

For (0), we observe that $x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(e_j \circ e_{j'}) = \delta_{j,j'} x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot e_j$.

For (1), for each $\alpha \in Q_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}} e_{t(\alpha)}) &= (x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})\cdot e_{t(\alpha)} \\ &= x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(e_{s(\alpha)}\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}) &= (x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot e_{s(\alpha)})\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}} \\ &= \delta_{s(\alpha),t(q)} x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}} \\ &= x\zeta_{(p,q)\cdot}\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses (4.4). Similar arguments prove the relation (2).

For (3), we have that for $\alpha, \beta \in Q_1$

$$\begin{aligned} & x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}(\beta^{\mathrm{op}})^*) = (x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})\cdot(\beta^{\mathrm{op}})^* \\ &= \begin{cases} \delta_{t(\alpha),t(\beta)} x\zeta_{(\widetilde{p}\beta,e_{s(\beta)})} - \sum\limits_{\gamma\in T(\alpha)} \delta_{\gamma,\beta} x\zeta_{(\widetilde{p}\gamma,e_{s(\gamma)})}, & \text{if } l(q)=0, p=\widetilde{p}\alpha \\ & \text{and } \alpha \text{ is associated;} \\ \delta_{s(\alpha),t(q)}\delta_{\alpha,\beta} x\zeta_{(p,q)}, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ &= \delta_{s(\alpha),t(q)}\delta_{\alpha,\beta} x\zeta_{(p,q)} \\ &= x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\delta_{\alpha,\beta} e_{s(\alpha)}) \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that in the case that $l(q) = 0$, $p = \widetilde{p}\alpha$ and α is associated, if $\alpha = \beta$, then $s(\alpha) = t(q)$ and $\gamma \neq \beta$ for each $\gamma \in T(\alpha)$; and if $\alpha \neq \beta$ with $t(\alpha) = t(\beta)$, then there exists an arrow $\gamma \in T(\alpha)$ such that $\gamma = \beta$.

For (4), for each $j \in Q_0$ we have that: if $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $t(\alpha) = j$ is associated, then

$$x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot((\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})^*\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}) = (x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})^*)\cdot\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha_1}(x\zeta_{(p,q)}, & \text{if } q = \alpha_1\widehat{q}; \\ \delta_{j',s(p)}(x\zeta_{(p,e_{s(p)})} - \sum_{\beta\in T(\alpha)} x\zeta_{(p\beta,\beta)}), & \text{if } l(q) = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $t(\alpha) = j$ is not associated, then

$$x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot((\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})^*\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha_1}x\zeta_{(p,q)}, & \text{if } q = \alpha_1\widehat{q}; \\ \delta_{j,s(p)}x\zeta_{(p\alpha,\alpha)}, & \text{if } l(q) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} & x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\sum_{\{\alpha\in Q_1 \mid t(\alpha)=j\}}(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}})^*\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \delta_{j,t(q)}x\zeta_{(p,q)}, & \text{if } q = \alpha_1\widehat{q}; \\ \delta_{j,s(p)}x\zeta_{(p,e_{s(p)})}, & \text{if } l(q) = 0. \end{cases} \\ &= \delta_{j,t(q)}x\zeta_{(p,q)} \\ &= x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot e_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following observation gives an intuitive description of the B-module action on $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let (p, q) be an associated pair in Q.*

(1) *We have $\sum_{i\in Q_0} e_i\zeta_{(e_i,e_i)} \cdot (p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}} = e_{t(p)}\zeta_{(p,q)}$;*
(2) *For each arrow $\beta \in Q_1$, we have the following equality holds:*

$$\beta\zeta_{(e_{s(\beta)},e_{s(\beta)})} \cdot (p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}} = \delta_{s(\beta),t(p)}\beta\zeta_{(p,q)}.$$

Proof. Since (p, q) is an associated pair in Q, we are in the second subcases in (4.3) and (4.2) for the right action of $(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}$. Then the statements follow from direct calculation. □

4.2. **A differential graded bimodule.** We recall some notion on differential graded modules; see [9]. Let $A = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} A^n$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra. For a (left) graded A-module $X = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} X^n$, elements x in X^n are said to be homogeneous of degree n, denoted by $|x| = n$.

A *differential graded algebra* (dg algebra for short) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra A with a differential $d : A \to A$ of degree one satisfying $d(ab) = d(a)b + (-1)^{|a|}ad(b)$ for homogenous elements $a, b \in A$.

A *(left) differential graded* A-module (dg A-module for short) X is a graded A-module $X = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} X^n$ with a differential $d_X : X \to X$ of degree one satisfying $d_X(a\cdot x) = d(a)\cdot x + (-1)^{|a|}a\cdot d_X(x)$ for homogenous elements $a \in A$ and $x \in X$. A morphism of dg A-modules is a morphism of A-modules which preserves degrees and commutes with differentials. A *right differential graded* A-module (right dg A-module for short) Y is a right graded A-module $Y = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Y^n$ with a differential $d_Y : Y \to Y$ of degree one satisfying $d_Y(y \cdot a) = d_Y(y) \cdot a + (-1)^{|y|}y \cdot d(a)$ for homogenous elements $a \in A$ and $y \in Y$. Here, central dots denotes the A-module action.

Let B be another dg algebra. Recall that a *dg A-B-bimodule* X is a left dg A-module as well as a right dg B-module satisfying $(a \cdot x) \cdot b = a \cdot (x \cdot b)$ for $a \in A$, $x \in X$ and $b \in B$.

Recall that Q is a finite quiver without sources. In what follows, we write $B = L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$, which is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded by the length of paths. We view B as a dg algebra with trivial differential.

Consider $A = kQ/J^2$ as a dg algebra concentrated in degree zero. Recall the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{P}^l$. It is a left dg A-module. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a right B-module. By (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we have that $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a right graded B-module.

There is a unique right B-module morphism $\phi : B \to \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ with

$$\phi(1) = \sum_{i\in Q_0} e_i\zeta_{(e_i,e_i)}.$$

Here, 1 is the unit of B. For each arrow $\beta \in Q_1$, there is a unique right B-module morphism $\phi_\beta : B \to \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ with $\phi_\beta(1) = \beta\zeta_{(e_{s(\beta)},e_{s(\beta)})}$. By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\phi((p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}) = e_{t(p)}\zeta_{(p,q)} \text{ and } \phi_\beta((p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}) = \delta_{s(\beta),t(p)}\beta\zeta_{(p,q)} \tag{4.5}$$

for $(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}} \in \Gamma$. Here, we emphasize that Γ is the k-basis of $B = L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$. Then ϕ is injective and the restriction of ϕ_β to $e_{s(\beta)}B$ is injective. Observe that both ϕ and ϕ_β are graded B-module morphisms.

Lemma 4.5. *For each $i \in Q_0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$, we have*

$$(\delta^l \circ \phi)((p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}) = \sum_{\{\alpha\in Q_1 \mid t(\alpha)=i\}} \phi_\alpha(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

From this, we have that $(\delta^l \circ \phi)(b) = \sum_{\alpha\in Q_1} \phi_\alpha(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}b)$ for $b \in B^l$.

Proof. For each arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}} \in \Gamma$, we observe that

$$\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}} = \begin{cases} \delta_{\alpha,\alpha_1}(\widehat{p}^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}, & \text{if } p = \alpha_1\widehat{p}; \\ (q\alpha)^{\mathrm{op}}, & \text{if } l(p) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

which are combinations of basis elements of $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^l \circ \phi)((p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}) &= \delta^l(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_1\zeta_{(\widehat{p},q)}, & \text{if } p = \alpha_1\widehat{p}; \\ \sum_{\{\alpha\in Q_1 \mid t(\alpha)=i\}} \alpha\zeta_{(e_{s(\alpha)},q\alpha)}, & \text{if } l(p) = 0. \end{cases} \\ &= \sum_{\{\alpha\in Q_1 \mid t(\alpha)=i\}} \phi_\alpha(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality uses (4.6). □

It is evident that the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a graded A-B-bimodule. The following result shows that $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a dg A-B-bimodule. Recall from Definition 2.4 for the differentials δ^l of $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$.

Proposition 4.6. *For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $x\zeta_{(p,q)} \in P_i\zeta_{(p,q)}$ with $i \in Q_0$ and $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$. Then for each vertex $j \in Q_0$ and each arrow $\beta \in Q_1$ we have*

(1) $\delta^l(x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot e_i) = \delta^l(x\zeta_{(p,q)})\cdot e_i$;
(2) $\delta^{l+1}(x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot\beta^{\mathrm{op}}) = \delta^l(x\zeta_{(p,q)})\cdot\beta^{\mathrm{op}}$;
(3) $\delta^{l-1}(x\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot(\beta^{\mathrm{op}})^*) = \delta^l(x\zeta_{(p,q)})\cdot(\beta^{\mathrm{op}})^*$.

In other words, the right B-action makes $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ a right dg B-module and thus a dg A-B-bimodule.

Proof. Recall that $\delta^l(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = 0$ for $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$. It follows that (1), (2) and (3) hold for $x = \alpha$. It suffices to prove that (1), (2) and (3) hold for $x = e_i$. We recall that $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$, and thus $t(p) = i$.

For (1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^l(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}\cdot e_j) &= \delta^l(\phi((p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}})e_j)\\ &= (\delta^l\circ\phi)((p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}e_j)\\ &= \sum_{\{\alpha\in Q_1\mid t(\alpha)=i\}}\phi_\alpha(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}e_j)\\ &= \sum_{\{\alpha\in Q_1\mid t(\alpha)=i\}}\phi_\alpha(\alpha^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}})\cdot e_j\\ &= \delta^l(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)})\cdot e_j.\end{aligned}$$

Here, the second and the fourth equalities hold because ϕ and ϕ_α are right B-module morphisms; the third and the last equalities use Lemma 4.5; Similar arguments prove (2) and (3). □

5. The differential graded endomorphism algebra of the projective Leavitt complex

In this section, we prove that the opposite differential graded endomorphism algebra of the projective Leavitt complex of a finite quiver without sources is quasi-isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra of the opposite quiver. Here, the Leavitt path algebra is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and viewed as a differential graded algebra with trivial differential.

5.1. **The quasi-balanced dg bimodule.** We first recall some notion and facts about quasi-balanced dg bimodules. Let A be a dg algebra and X, Y be (left) dg A-modules. We have a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)^n$ such that each component $\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)^n$ consists of k-linear maps $f: X\to Y$ satisfying $f(X^i)\subseteq Y^{i+n}$ for all $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $f(a\cdot x)=(-1)^{n|a|}a\cdot f(x)$ for all homogenous elements $a\in A$. The differential on $\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)$ sends $f\in\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)^n$ to $d_Y\circ f-(-1)^n f\circ d_X\in\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)^{n+1}$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{End}_A(X):=\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,X)$ becomes a dg algebra with this differential and the usual composition as multiplication. The dg algebra $\mathrm{End}_A(X)$ is usually called the *dg endomorphism algebra* of the dg module X.

We denote by A^{opp} the *opposite dg algebra* of a dg algebra A, that is, $A^{\mathrm{opp}}=A$ as graded spaces with the same differential, and the multiplication " $\circ$ " on A^{opp} is given such that $a\circ b=(-1)^{|a||b|}ba$.

Let B be another dg algebra. Recall that a right dg B-module is a left dg B^{opp}-module. For a dg A-B-bimodule X, the canonical map $A\to\mathrm{End}_{B^{\mathrm{opp}}}(X)$ is a homomorphism of dg algebras, sending a to l_a with $l_a(x)=a\cdot x$ for $a\in A$ and $x\in X$. Similarly, the canonical map $B\to\mathrm{End}_A(X)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ is a homomorphism of dg algebras, sending b to r_b with $r_b(x)=(-1)^{|b||x|}x\cdot b$ for homogenous elements $b\in B$ and $x\in X$.

A dg A-B-bimodule X is called *right quasi-balanced* provided that the canonical homomorphism $B\to\mathrm{End}_A(X)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ of dg algebras is a quasi-isomorphism; see [7, 2.2].

We denote by $\mathbf{K}(A)$ the homotopy category of left dg A-modules and by $\mathbf{D}(A)$ the derived category of left dg A-modules; they are both triangulated categories with arbitrary coproducts. For a dg A-B-bimodule X and a left dg A-module Y, $\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)$ has a natural structure of left dg B-module.

The following lemma is [7, Proposition 2.2]; compare [9, 4.3] and [11, Appendix A].

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a dg A-B-bimodule which is right quasi-balanced. Recall that $\mathrm{Loc}\langle X\rangle\subseteq\mathbf{K}(A)$ is the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(A)$ which contains*

X and is closed under arbitrary coproducts. Assume that X is a compact object in $\mathrm{Loc}\langle X\rangle$. *Then we have a triangle equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(X,-) : \mathrm{Loc}\langle X\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}(B). \qquad \square$$

In what follows, Q is a finite quiver without sources and $A = kQ/J^2$ is the corresponding algebra with radical square zero. Consider A as a dg algebra concentrated in degree zero. Recall that the Leavitt path algebra $B = L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, and that it is viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential.

Recall from Proposition 4.6 that the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a dg A-B-bimodule. There is a connection between the projective Leavitt complex and the Leavitt path algebra, which is established by the following statement.

Theorem 5.2. *Let Q be a finite quiver without sources. Then the dg A-B-bimodule $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is right quasi-balanded.*

In particular, the opposite dg endomorphism algebra of the projective Leavitt complex of Q is quasi-isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ of Q^{op}. Here, Q^{op} is the opposite quiver of Q; $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and viewed as a dg algebra with trivial differential.

We will prove Theorem 5.2 in subsection 5.2. The following equivalence was given by [7, Theorem 6.2].

Corollary 5.3. *Let Q be a finite quiver without sources. Then there is a triangle equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet,-) : \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}(B)$$

such that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet,\mathcal{P}^\bullet) \cong B$ *in* $\mathbf{D}(B)$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 3.7 that $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ac}}(A\text{-Proj}) = \mathrm{Loc}\langle\mathcal{P}^\bullet\rangle$. Then the triangle equivalence follows from Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.1. The canonical map $B \to \mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, which identifies $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet,\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$ with B in $\mathbf{D}(B)$. $\square$

5.2. **The proof of Theroem 5.2.** In this subsection, we follow the notation in subsection 5.1.

Lemma 5.4. ([16, Theorem 4.8]) *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra and $\eta : L_k(Q) \to A$ be a graded algebra homomorphism such that $\eta(e_i) \neq 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$. Then η is injective.* $\square$

Let Z^n and C^n denote the n-th cocycle and coboundary of the dg algebra $\mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)^{\mathrm{opp}}$. We have the following observation:

Lemma 5.5. *Any element $f : \mathcal{P}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ in C^n satisfies $f(\mathcal{P}^l) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\delta^{n+l}$ for each integer l and n.*

Proof. For any $f \in C^n$ there exists $h = (h^l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ such that $f^l = \delta^{n+l-1}\circ h^l - (-1)^{n-1}h^{l+1}\circ\delta^l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 2.7 we have $\mathrm{Im}\delta^{n+l-1} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\delta^{n+l}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\delta^l \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\delta^{l+1}$. Then it suffices to prove $h(\mathrm{Ker}\delta^{l+1}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\delta^{n+l}$. Recall from Lemma 2.6 that $\{\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^{l+1}$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i\}$ is a k-basis of $\mathrm{Ker}\delta^{l+1}$. By Lemma 2.3, we are done. $\square$

Recall that the projective Leavitt complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ is a dg A-B-bimodule; see Proposition 4.6. Let $\rho : B \to \mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)^{\mathrm{opp}}$ be the canonical map which is induced by the right B-action. We have that $\rho(L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^n) \subseteq Z^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since B is a dg algebra with trivial differential. Taking cohomologies, the following graded algebra homomorphism is obtained

$$H(\rho) : B \longrightarrow H(\mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)^{\mathrm{opp}}). \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.6. *The graded algebra homomorphism $H(\rho)$ is an embedding.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, it suffices to prove that $H(\rho)(e_i) \neq 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$. For each vertex $i \in Q_0$, $H(\rho)(e_i)(e_i\zeta_{(e_i,e_i)}) = e_i\zeta_{(e_i,e_i)}$. By Lemma 2.6 we have $e_i\zeta_{(e_i,e_i)} \notin \mathrm{Ker}\delta^0$. By Lemma 5.5, $H(\rho)(e_i) \notin C^0$. This implies $H(\rho)(e_i) \neq 0$. □

It remains to prove that the graded algebra homomorphism $H(\rho)$ is surjective. For each element $y \in Z^n$, we will find an element $x \in B^n$ such that $y - \rho(x) \in C^n$.

In what follows, we fix $y \in Z^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $y \in Z^n$ implies $\delta^\bullet \circ y - (-1)^n y \circ \delta^\bullet = 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}^l = \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} P_i^{(\mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l)}$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $\{e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}, \alpha\zeta_{(p,q)} \mid i \in Q_0, (p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i\}$ is a k-basis of $\mathcal{P}^l$. For each $i \in Q_0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (\delta^{n+l} \circ y)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = (-1)^n(y \circ \delta^l)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) \\ (\delta^{n+l} \circ y)(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$.

Observe that y is an A-module morphism. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that

$$\begin{cases} y(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \phi(y_{(p,q)}) + \sum_{\{\gamma\in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\mu^\gamma_{(p,q)}) \\ y(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \phi_\alpha(y_{(p,q)}), \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

where $y_{(p,q)} \in e_iL_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{n+l}$ and $\mu^\gamma_{(p,q)} \in e_{s(\gamma)}L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{n+l}$.

By (5.3) and Lemma 4.5, we have that

$$(\delta^{n+l} \circ y)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \delta^{n+l}(\phi(y_{(p,q)})) = \sum_{\{\gamma\in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}y_{(p,q)})$$

and that

$$(y \circ \delta^l)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = y(\sum_{\{\gamma\in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}})).$$

By (5.2), we have

$$\sum_{\{\gamma\in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}y_{(p,q)}) = (-1)^n y(\sum_{\{\gamma\in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}})). \tag{5.4}$$

We recall that for each arrow $\beta \in Q_1$, the restriction of ϕ_β to $e_{s(\beta)}B$ is injective. If $l(p) = 0$, then by (5.4) and (5.3) for any $\gamma \in Q_1$ with $t(\gamma) = i$ we have

$$y_{(e_{s(\gamma)},q\gamma)} = (-1)^n\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}y_{(p,q)}. \tag{5.5}$$

If $l(p) > 0$, write $p = a\widehat{p}$ with $a \in Q_1$ and $t(a) = i$. By (5.4) and (5.3) we have $a^{\mathrm{op}}y_{(p,q)} = (-1)^n y_{(\widehat{p},q)}$ and $\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}y_{(p,q)} = 0$ for $\gamma \in Q_1$ with $t(\gamma) = i$ and $\gamma \neq a$. The following equality holds:

$$y_{(p,q)} = \sum_{\{\gamma\in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} (\gamma^{\mathrm{op}})^*\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}y_{(p,q)} = (-1)^n(a^{\mathrm{op}})^*y_{(\widehat{p},q)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Lemma 5.7. *Keep the notation as above. Take $x = \sum_{j\in Q_0} y_{(e_j,e_j)} \in L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^n$. Then $y_{(p,q)} = (-1)^{nl}(p^{\mathrm{op}})^*q^{\mathrm{op}}x$ in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ for each $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$.*

Proof. Clearly, we have $y_{(e_i,e_i)} = e_ix$ in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$. For $l(p) = 0$ and $l(q) > 0$, write $q = \widetilde{q}\gamma$ with $\gamma \in Q_1$. We use (5.5) to obtain $y_{(e_{s(q)},q)} = (-1)^{nl}q^{\mathrm{op}}x$ in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$ by induction on $l(q)$. For $l(p) > 0$, write $p = \beta_m \cdots \beta_1$ with all β_k arrows in Q. By (5.6) we have $y_{(p,q)} = (-1)^n(\beta_m^{\mathrm{op}})^*y_{(\widehat{p},q)}$. We obtain $y_{(p,q)} = (-1)^{nm}(\beta_m^{\mathrm{op}})^* \cdots (\beta_1^{\mathrm{op}})^*y_{(e_{s(q)},q)}$ by iterating (5.6). Then we are done by $y_{(e_{s(q)},q)} = (-1)^{n(m+l)}q^{\mathrm{op}}x$ in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$. □

We will construct a map $h : \mathcal{P}^\bullet \to \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ of degree $n-1$, which will be used to prove $y - \rho(x) \in C^n$. To define h, we first assign to each pair $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$ an element $\theta_{(p,q)}$ in $L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})$.

For each $i \in Q_0$, define $\theta_{(e_i,e_i)} = \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid s(\gamma)=i\}} \mu^{\gamma}_{(\gamma, e_{s(\gamma)})} \in e_i L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{n-1}$. Here, refer to (5.3) for the element $\mu^{\gamma}_{(\gamma,e_{s(\gamma)})}$. We define $\theta_{(e_{s(q)},q)}$ inductively by

$$\theta_{(e_{s(q)},q)} = (-1)^{n-1}(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}\theta_{(e_{s(\widetilde{q})},\widetilde{q})} - \mu^{\gamma}_{(e_{s(\widetilde{q})},\widetilde{q})}) \in e_{s(q)} L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{n+l-1}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $q = \widetilde{q}\gamma$ with $l(q) = l$ and $\gamma \in Q_1$. Let $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$ with $l(p) > 0$. We define $\theta_{(p,q)}$ by induction on the length of p as follows:

$$\theta_{(p,q)} = (-1)^{n-1}(\beta^{\mathrm{op}})^*\theta_{(\widehat{p},q)} + \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} (\gamma^{\mathrm{op}})^* \mu^{\gamma}_{(p,q)} \in e_i L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^{n+l-1}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $p = \beta\widehat{p}$ with $\beta \in Q_1$ is of length $l(q) - l$.

We define a k-linear map $h : \mathcal{P}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^\bullet$ such that

$$h(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \phi(\theta_{(p,q)}) \text{ and } h(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \phi_\alpha(\theta_{(p,q)})$$

for each $i \in Q_0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$, and $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$.

Lemma 5.8. *Let x be the element in Lemma 5.7 and h be the above map. For each $i \in Q_0$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(p,q) \in \mathbf{\Lambda}_i^l$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} (y - \rho(x))(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = (\delta^{n+l-1} \circ h - (-1)^{n-1} h \circ \delta^l)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) \\ (y - \rho(x))(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = (\delta^{n+l-1} \circ h - (-1)^{n-1} h \circ \delta^l)(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha \in Q_1$ with $s(\alpha) = i$.

Proof. Recall from (4.5) the right B-module morphisms ϕ and ϕ_β for $\beta \in Q_1$. By (5.3) and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$\begin{cases} \rho(x)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = (-1)^{nl}\phi(p^{\mathrm{op}*}q^{\mathrm{op}})\cdot x = \phi(y_{(p,q)}) \\ \rho(x)(\alpha\zeta_{(p,q)}) = (-1)^{nl}\phi_\alpha(p^{\mathrm{op}*}q^{\mathrm{op}})\cdot x = \phi_\alpha(y_{(p,q)}) \\ (y - \rho(x))(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\mu^{\gamma}_{(p,q)}); \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\delta^l \circ \phi_\beta = 0$ for each arrow $\beta \in Q_1$. It remains to prove $(\delta^{n+l-1} \circ h - (-1)^{n-1} h \circ \delta^l)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\mu^{\gamma}_{(p,q)})$.

By the definition of δ^l, we have

$$(h \circ \delta^l)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \begin{cases} \phi_\beta(\theta_{(\widehat{p},q)}), & \text{if } p = \beta\widehat{p}; \\ \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\theta_{(e_{s(\gamma)},q\gamma)}), & \text{if } l(p) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have $(\delta^{n+l-1} \circ h)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) = \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}\theta_{(p,q)})$. Then the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta^{n+l-1} \circ h)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) - (-1)^{n-1}(h \circ \delta^l)(e_i\zeta_{(p,q)}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}\theta_{(p,q)}) - (-1)^{n-1}\phi_\beta(\theta_{(\widehat{p},q)}), & \text{if } p = \beta\widehat{p}; \\ \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\gamma^{\mathrm{op}}\theta_{(p,q)} - (-1)^{n-1}\theta_{(e_{s(\gamma)},q\gamma)}), & \text{if } l(p) = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \sum_{\{\gamma \in Q_1 \mid t(\gamma)=i\}} \phi_\gamma(\mu^{\gamma}_{(p,q)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality uses (5.7) and (5.8). □

Proof of Theorem 5.2. It suffices to prove that $H(\rho)$ in (5.1) is an isomorphism. By Lemma 5.6, it remains to prove that $H^n(\rho)$ is surjective for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any element $\overline{y} = y + C^n$ with $y \in Z^n$, take $x = \sum_{j \in Q_0} y_{(e_j,e_j)} \in B^n = L_k(Q^{\mathrm{op}})^n$.

By Lemma 5.8, we have $y - \rho(x) \in C^n$. Then it follows that $\overline{y} = \overline{\rho(x)}$ in $H^n(\mathrm{End}_A(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)^{\mathrm{opp}})$. □

6. Acknowledgements

The author thanks Professor Xiao-Wu Chen for inspiring discussions. The author thanks Australian Research Council grant DP160101481.

References

[1] A. Alahmadi, H. Alsulami, S.K. Jain and E. Zelmanov, Leavitt path algebras of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, J. Algebra Appl. **11** (6), 6pp. (2012)
[2] G. Abrams and G. Aranda Pino, The Leavitt path algebra of a graph, J. Algebra **239** (2) (2005), 319-334.
[3] P. Ara, M.A. Moreno and E. Pardo, Nonstable K-theory for graph algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory **10** (2) (2007), 157-178.
[4] R.O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, unpublished manuscrip, 1987, available at: http://hdl. handle. net/1807/16682.
[5] M. Bökstedt and A. Neeman, Homotopy limits in triangulated categories, Compositio Math. **86** (1993), 209-234.
[6] X.W. Chen, Irreducible representations of Leavitt path algebras, Forum Math. **27** (1) (2015), 549-574.
[7] X.W. Chen and Dong Yang, Homotopy categories, Leavitt path algebras and Gorenstein projective modules, Inter. Math. Res. Notices, **10** (2015), 2597-2633.
[8] P. Jørgensen, The homotopy category of complexes of projective modules, Adv. Math. **193** (1) (2005), 223-232.
[9] B. Keller, Deriving DG categories, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **27** (1) (1994), 63-102.
[10] B. Keller, On the construction of triangle equivalences, In: Derived Equivalences for Group Rings, Lecture Notes Math. **1685**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
[11] H. Krause, The stable derived category of a noetherian scheme, Compositio Math. **141** (2005), 1128-1162.
[12] H.H. Li, The injective Leavitt complex, arXiv:1512.04178v1.
[13] A. Neeman, The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 205-236.
[14] A. Neeman, The homotopy category of flat modules, and Grothendieck duality, Invent. math. **174** (2) (2008), 255-308.
[15] D. Orlov, Triangulated categories of sigularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models, Trudy Steklov Math. Institute **204** (2004), 240-262.
[16] M. Tomforde, Uniqueness theorems and ideal structure for Leavitt path algebras, J. Algebra **318** (2007), 270-299.

Huanhuan Li
Centre for Research in Mathematics, Western Sydney University, Australia
E-mail: h.li@westernsydney.edu.au